

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 5

KUDIRKA & JOBSE LLP ONE STATE STREET SUITE 1510 BOSTON MA 02109

COPY MAILED

MAY 3 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of James R. McSherry Application No. 09/783,197 Filed: February 14, 2001 Attorney Docket No. W0008/7000

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed March 1, 2002, to revive the instant nonprovisional application under the unintentional provisions of $37\ \text{CFR}\ 1.137(f)$.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of a PCT international application filed on December 28, 2001. However, the US Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the PCT application.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213 c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply, which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay ir filing the required reply from the due date of the reply un^{\dagger} il the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the

Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). The statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). Petitioner must notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Corrected Filing Receipt which sets forth the projected publication date accompanies this decision on petition.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3600 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0272.

Cliff Congo

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

Enc: Corrected Filing Receipt (2 pages)